

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/694,315	JACKSON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Christopher Boswell	3676	:

Status of Application: *pending*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 2:30 pm

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 21, 26-27, 37, 39, and 40

Prior art documents discussed:

U.S. Patent Numbers 6,039,362 to Nadherny and 2,927,812 to Smith et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted the applicant about a possible examiner's amendment to distinguish the current invention, as claimed in claims 1 and 37, over the prior art. The examiner suggested including limitations of the pivot axis of the cam or actuator being perpendicular to the linear motion axis of the follower in order to overcome possible rejections of Nadherny and Smith. .